

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/873,067	06/01/2001	Christopher M. Tobin	50P4053.01 3986		
759	90 10/03/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Blakely, Sokol 12400 Wilshire	loff Taylor & Zafman 1	ZHOU,	ZHOU, TING		
Seventh Floor	Boulevard	ART UNIT PAPER NUM			
Los Angeles, CA 90025			2173		
		DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		09/873,00	37	TOBIN ET AL.					
		Examine		Art Unit					
		Ting Zhou		2173					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>25 July 2006</i>							
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
<i>'</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
		plication							
•	4) Claim(s) 17-40 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>17-40</u> is/are rejected.									
•					:				
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	k(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate	⁻ O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/873,067

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 25 July 2006 under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/873,067 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

2. The amendments filed on 25 July 2006, submitted with the filing of the RCE have been received and entered. Claims 17-40 as amended are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 23-28 are not tangible. Although the preamble of independent claim 23 recites an apparatus, the remainder of the claim does not support the preamble. The claimed features and elements of independent claim 23 recite modules, which are defined in the specification to be software (page 12, paragraph 30 of the specification). All of the elements of claim 23 would reasonably be interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the light of the disclosure as software, rendering the system/apparatus as software per se, lacking any hardware to enable any functionality to be realized. Therefore, the claimed features of claim 23 is actually a software, or at best, directed to an arrangement of software, and software claimed by

itself, without being executed or implemented on a computer medium, is intangible. Claims 24-28 are rejected for the same reasons.

4. To expedite a complete examination of the instant application, the claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 (nonstatutory) above are further rejected as set forth below in anticipation of the applicant amending these claims to place them within the four statutory categories of invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 17-18, 21-24, 27-30, 33-36 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jakobson U.S. Patent 6,697,838 and Revashetti et al. U.S. 6,230,199 (hereinafter "Revashetti").

Referring to claims 17, 23, 29 and 35, Jakobson teaches a method, apparatus, means and computer readable storage comprising identifying a particular resource displayed in a first web page using a device that displays the first web page (the client device which displays the web pages displays, i.e. identifies, a plurality of resources, i.e. URLs) (Jakobson: column 9, lines 46-64 and Figure 2K); determining, with the device, whether an entry corresponding to the particular resource displayed on the first web page is contained in a database on the device that

correlates supplemental information to each of a plurality of resources (the processor of the client device determines whether supplemental information such as a note file related to the resource, i.e. the URL, is contained in a database stored on the storage device of the client device) (Jakobson: column 3, line 6-column 4, line 29 and column 9, lines 46-64), wherein the database is separate from the first web page and the first web page is ordinarily devoid of the supplemental information (the associated note data is displayed when the user clicks on the URL; in other words, if the user does not click on the URL, the web page is devoid of, i.e. does not display the associated note) (Jakobson: column 9, lines 46-64); and displaying supplemental information for the particular resource along with and separate from the first web page where it is determined that the database contains an entry for the particular resource (the supplemental note data is displayed along with, i.e. on the web page, and separate from, i.e. in a separate area on the web page, as shown in Figures 2F and 2K) (Jakobson: column 8, lines 35-51 and column 9, lines 46-64). However, Jakobson fails to explicitly teach that the resource is a product and that the database comprises supplemental information particular to a user. Revashetti teaches a method of providing customized information to a user similar to that of Jakobson. In addition, Revashetti further teaches providing information related to products from a database that comprises supplemental information particular to a user (providing product information based upon user's behavior and preferences from a user profile) (Revashetti: column 1, lines 6-23, column 14, lines 8-17 and column 20, line 45-column 21, line 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Jakobson and Revashetti before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the display of supplemental information related to a selected resource of Jakobson to include the display of product information particular to a user,

as taught by Revashetti. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to promote and accommodate the growing increase in the use of the Internet for the sale of goods and products; this combination further allows for more effective marketing/advertisement of products to users.

Referring to claims 18, 24, 30 and 36, Jakobson, as modified, teaches the particular product is a link to a second webpage (the resource is a link, i.e. URL to a web page; selection of the link for the product advertisement takes the user to a related web page) (Jakobson: Figure 2K; Revashetti: column 1, lines 6-23, column 14, lines 8-17 and column 20, line 45-column 21, line 8).

Referring to claims 21, 27, 33 and 39, Jakobson, as modified, teach detecting an event relating to the particular product, wherein the event prompts the display of supplemental information for the particular product (detecting an event such as selection of the resource, i.e. URL, which prompts, or causes the display of the associated note data; detection of an event such as user selection of the product advertisement, which prompts the display of the related web page) (Jakobson: column 3, line 6-column 4, line 29 and column 9, lines 46-64; Revashetti: column 1, lines 6-23, column 14, lines 8-17 and column 20, line 45-column 21, line 8).

Referring to claims 22, 28, 34 and 40, Jakobson, as modified, teach wherein the event is a cursor rollover of the particular product and the supplemental information is superimposed on the first web page in the vicinity of the display of the particular product (when the user selects the URL by moving the cursor to the URL and selecting it, the supplemental note data is displayed on the first web page in the vicinity of, or near the URL, as shown in Figures 2F and 2K) (Jakobson: column 3, line 6-column 4, line 29, column 8, lines 35-51 and column 9, lines

46-64, Revashetti: column 1, lines 6-23, column 14, lines 8-17 and column 20, line 45-column 21, line 8).

6. Claims 19-20, 25-26, 31-32 and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jakobson U.S. Patent 6,697,838 and Revashetti et al. U.S. 6,230,199 (hereinafter "Revashetti"), as applied to the claims above, and Harris et al. U.S. Patent 6,014,635 (hereinafter Harris).

Referring to claims 19-20, 25-26, 31-32 and 37-38, Jakobson and Revashetti teach all of the limitations as applied to the claims above. Specifically, Jakobson and Revashetti teach a second web page (the resource is a link, i.e. URL to a web page) (Jakobson: Figure 2K) and the supplemental information being obtained from the database and not being ordinarily evident from the webpage (the associated note data stored in the database is displayed when the user clicks on the URL; in other words, if the user does not click on the URL, the web page is devoid of, i.e. does not display the associated note) (Jakobson: column 3, line 6-column 4, line 29 and column 9, lines 46-64). Furthermore, Jakobson and Revashetti teach that the products for which information are displayed are purchasable (Revashetti: column 10, lines 17-23) and suggests that an incentive can be used to entice the customer to purchase an item (Revashetti: column 1, lines 37-39). However, Jakobson and Revashetti fail to explicitly teach a consumer incentive available to the user and relating to the purchasable item. Harris teaches a system for interacting with a user (Harris: column 2, line 18-column 3, line 6) similar to that of Jakobson and Revashetti. In addition, Harris further teaches consumer incentives available to the user relating to the items being purchased, wherein the consumer incentive is a discount for purchasing the

items using a particular credit card (using the preferred discount credit system) (Harris: column 2, lines 18-25 and column 2, line 53-column 3, line 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Jakobson, Revashetti and Harris before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system for displaying a link to a second web page and supplemental information stored in a database relating to a particular product that is purchasable of Jakobson and Revashetti to include the consumer incentives relating to a purchasable item taught by Harris, in order to obtain a system wherein the second web page correlates to purchasable items and the supplemental information includes consumer incentives such as a discount for purchasing the purchasable item. One would have motivated to make such a combination in order to promote and increase the online sale of goods and services by enticing users to buy the vendor's products.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ting Zhou whose telephone number is (571) 272-4058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/873,067

Art Unit: 2173

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached at (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TZ

Precipondio Krew D. Vu Primary Examiner